

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,908	07/14/2003	Shane E. Weyant	DB001016-002	6851	
7590 08/09/2004 DOCKET CLERK			EXAMINER		
			MAYO, TARA L		
P. O. DRAWE: DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 	-			
		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Comments			0/618,908	WEYANT ET AL.	\sim			
Office Action Summary		Ē	xaminer	Art Unit				
			ara L. Mayo	3671				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provision IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with statutory period will al y will, by statute, cau). In no event, however, may a reply be to nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ I	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed_on_ <u>27_<i>May</i>_</u>	2004					
			tion is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
5)	he specification is objected to by the	restriction and	from consideration. d/or election requirement.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any obje			• •	4.4044.15			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the oath or declaration is objected the control of	_	•	•	• •			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents had documents had of the priority onal Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Applicat documents have been receiv PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	tage			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of t	he certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)							
`	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of No(s)/Mail Date	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I	-atent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908

Art Unit: 3671

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, as seen in Figures 1A, 5, and 9, to which claims 24 through 30, 32, and 33 are restricted; and

Species B, not shown, to which claims 34 through 40 are restricted.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908

Art Unit: 3671

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Robert D. McCutcheon on 15 July 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,908

Art Unit: 3671

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you-have-questions-on-access-to-the-Private-PAIR-system, contact-the-Electronic———Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

30 July 2004

THOMAS B. WILL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 3600